

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/600,191 | 06/20/2003 | Douglas L. Keil | LAM1P175/P1148 | 8804 | | |
| 22434 7: | 590 06/29/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| BEYER WEA | VER & THOMAS, LLP | FULLER, | FULLER, ERIC B | | | |
| P.O. BOX 702: | 50 CA 94612-0250 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| Ornazina, c | 71 71012 0230 | | 1762 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/29/2000 | DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/600,191 | | KEIL ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | | Eric B. Fuller | | 1762 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this community Reply | ication appe | ears on the cover | sheet with the co | orrespondence ad | idress | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRY IN THE MINIST | MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c | TE OF THIS CO 6(a). In no event, howe Il apply and will expire scause the application to | OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED | l. lely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on 19 Apı | ril 2006. | | | | | | | |
| | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)[| The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | : a)∐ accer | pted or b)∐ obje | ected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | _ | • | | | , , | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | | |
| * \$ | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | t(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | Interview Summary (| | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or | | Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa | te atent Application (PTC | Դ.152) | | | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> . | P10/36/00) | | Other: | none / phoduon (i T | J-102) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/600,191 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-10, in the reply filed on April 19, 2006 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keller et al. (US 6,051,151).

Keller teaches a plasma chamber for processing substrates (36) that uses mechanical and magnetic means to confine the plasma (column 4, lines 14-24). The magnets may be rings embedded in the chamber walls (figure 3) or embedded in a separate confinement ring (figure 4). Either embodiment reads on the applicant's claims. Increasing the amount of collisions is a function of the confined plasma. Figure 3 shows the magnetic fields passing through the ring. Figure 4 shows the multiple magnets of differing diameters.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller et al. (US 6,051,151), as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Lenz (US 6,019,060).

Keller teaches the limitations above, but is silent to the confinement ring being movable. However, Lenz teaches a system in which the confinement ring is movable in order to adjust the pressure of the plasma and to account for the pressure drop across the confinement ring (abstract; column 3, lines 4-20). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use a movable confinement ring in the process taught by Keller. By doing so, one would reap the benefits of controlling the pressure of the plasma.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chen et al. (US 6,872,281 B2) teaches that the two known methods for confining plasma is the mechanical means of a confinement ring and the magnetic means of a magnetic ring (column 1, lines 39-65). This is pertinent to the applicant's disclosure, as it proposes to use a combination of both.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,191

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric B. Fuller whose telephone number is (571) 272-1420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks, can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EBF

TIMOTHY MEEKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED Page 4